

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO). I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/616,887		07/10/2003	Hayim Lindenbaum	U 014713-7	1672	
32042	7590	05/22/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	BOGGS		VRETTAKO	VRETTAKOS, PETER J		
				PAPER NUMBER		
MCLEAN	MCLEAN, VA 22102 3739 DATE MAILED: 05/22/2006					
					5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/616,887	LINDENBAUM ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Peter J. Vrettakos	3739	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address -	•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communica D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 F</u> This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allowarclosed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		s is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 11-20 and 28-44 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 11-20 and 28-44 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accompany and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	wn from consideration. or election requirement. er. eepted or b) □ objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). njected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date see attached.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal (6) Other:		

IDS Dates 2/24/6, 2/10/5, 1/3/5

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 11-20 and 28-44 are pending.

The Application is a CIP of application number 10/358,130. A notice of allowance was mailed in that case on 3-22-06. The Applicant is requested to amend the beginning of the specification of this application to include the patent number (if yet known at time of writing the next amendment.)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 11-13, 20, 28-30 and 37-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Swartz et al. (6,503,247).

Art Unit: 3739

Swartz discloses a vascular medical device (10, see figure 6) comprising a main shaft (12) with an anchor balloon (20), peripheral balloon (22), pair of electrodes (30; sensing electrodes col. 15:9-14), RF power source (col. 11:49-50), and a temperature feedback control (col. 12:60-62).

The Office contends that the double-ballooned electrode catheter structure of Swartz would be capable of blood delimiting (through disclosed seals) as claimed by the Applicant.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 14-19, 31-36 and 41-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Swartz in view of Woltosz (4,211,230).

Swartz neglect to disclose feedback control during hemostasis, as well as appropriate wattage ranges.

Woltosz discloses an electrosurgical device (generic term) and a corresponding hemostatic method including radiofrequency electrodes, feedback control (with control module), and parameters making obvious the Applicant's claims. Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify

Application/Control Number: 10/616,887

Art Unit: 3739

Swartz in view of Woltosz by integrating the Woltosz feedback controller as well as disclosed parameters, into the Swartz device and method, respectively. The motivation to use Woltosz in this manner would be to simply choose a well-known design expedient and hemostasis parameters for the Swartz vascular device.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 11-20 and 28-44 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

New art presented (Swartz et al.) is directed toward a method unrelated to hemostasis, however the rejection above indicates that through structural equivalence would be capable of the hemostasis related functions disclosed in the Applicant's claimed device.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 10/616,887

Art Unit: 3739

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter J. Vrettakos whose telephone number is 571-272-4775. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda C. Dvorak can be reached on 571-272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Pete Vrettakos May 11, 2006

ROY D. GIBSON
PRIMARY EXAMINER

1 Gibson

Page 5